

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/617,159 07/09/2003 Elizabeth Charuvastra 2722 **EXAMINER** 7590 08/04/2005 Elizabeth Charuvastra KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM 3048 Nicada Drive ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Los Angeles, CA 90077 3762

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	N
X	7
0	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers	10/617,159	CHARUVASTRA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Kahelin	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)	
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/617,159 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract is longer than 150 words and includes the legal phraseology "said".

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: there is a typo on page 19 in the sentence beginning "Finally, more..."

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3762

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

Page 3

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Please note the location of the brief description of the figures.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,159 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Objections

4. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: "claim 1" may need to be changed to "claim 7". Examiner has interpreted the dependent claim 12 as depending on claim 7 and "claim 1" is a typo. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Callahan et al. (6,324,423 B1). Callahan et al. disclose a method involving providing a drug to a subject (col. 4, line 6), collecting beat-to-beat data representing a cardiac interval (col. 3, line 67), defining bins with value ranges (col. 4, line 1), organizing data into bins to create a composite histogram and statistically analyzing the composite histogram after exposure to the drug (Fig. 16).
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 15, 16, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Glass et al. (2005/0165320). Glass et al. disclose a method for detecting cardiac arrhythmia based on comparing a subject's histogram with composite

Art Unit: 3762

histograms of R-R intervals after exposure to a drug (par. 0012). In regards to claims 16 and 18, the individual histogram is compared to a set of subjects who are "normal" for a given R-R interval to determine if the individual is from the group used to construct the composite curve. In regards to claim 19, the composite curves are derived from subjects with baseline characteristics and the individual histogram is derived from a potentially normal subject.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claims 7, 8, 9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glass et al. Glass et al. substantially disclose the claimed invention

Application/Control Number: 10/617,159

Art Unit: 3762

Page 6

except for comparing a histogram from one group of subjects to another histogram from another group of subjects. It is well-known in the art to compare characteristics, such as means, standard deviations, and histograms of two groups to determine if they are from the same population. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use two composite histograms to determine if two groups are from the same population.

unpatentable over Glass et al. in view of Callahan et al. Glass et al. disclose the essential features of the claimed invention except for an interval measurement comprising an amplitude measurement, obtaining an ambulatory ECG recording, and comparing an individual histogram to a placebo histogram. Callahan et al. teach of measuring an amplitude to create histograms based on variables other than time (claim 4), using an ambulatory ECG recording device to allow long-term ECG recording outside of a clinical environment (claim 5), and comparing an individual histogram to a placebo histogram to determine the probability that the two groups are the same (col. 9, line 17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to measure an amplitude to create histograms based on variables other than time, use an ambulatory ECG recording device to allow long-term ECG recording outside of a clinical environment, and compare an individual histogram to a placebo histogram to determine the probability that the two groups are the same.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,159

Art Unit: 3762

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. There are several examples of heart-monitoring strategies including histogram data provided.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kahelin whose telephone number is (571)272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571)272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MWK

JEFFREY R. JASTRZAB PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7